

III IN THE DRAWINGS

The applicant has submitted new drawings Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for better interpretation of the present application.

IV

REMARKS

The Applicant would like to sincerely thank Examiner, Nguyen, Matthew Van for his thorough examining of the above referenced application. The Applicant has carefully reviewed the disclosure to address grammatical, idiomatic and spelling errors and made appropriate correction. The applicant also respectfully amended the specification and the claims to overcome the rejection as follows:

Claims

35 U.S.C 102(b) rejection

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) paragraph as being anticipated by Bottrell et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,790,356) for their similarities in terms of the constituent parts. However, the cited reference “Transformer Protection System for Protectin Source Transformers from Ill Effects of Zero-Sequence Currents” utilizes a zero-sequence transform and relocate the ground point of system neutral conductor to that of the zero-sequence filter transformer (abstract line 6, paragraph 3 line 60-62. In other words, the cited reference aims for eliminating ill effects of zero-sequence currents by relocating the system neutral ground point to block it from flowing in the source transformer and its neutral circuit (paragraph 3 line 63-65), whereas the present application aims for conversion of power system from three-phase to same phase to alleviate impact on subscribers when there is a power shortage.

In order to distinctly point out the differences between the cited reference and the present application, the applicant has cancelled the original claims 1-5 and added new claims 6-10, especially emphasizing on the conversion process of power system from three-phase to same phase.

The applicant solicits the Examiner’s assistance in entering these changes as appropriate. Therefore the claims 6-10 are no longer being anticipated by the cited reference and should respectfully be allowed.

Conclusion

Because the applicant has amended the claims and has satisfied the Examiner's rejection requirement under 102(b) paragraph, the applicant respectfully ask the Examiner to place the above-referenced application in condition for allowance by allowing amended claims 6-10.

Respectfully Submitted,

*CHEN TAI JOU*  
Chen, Tai Jou

Enclosures:

- 1.111 Amendment Response
- Mark-up Specification
- Marked-up Substitute Claims
- Clean copy of Specification